3

35.

11 12

13 14

15

1617

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

21

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

- 1. Pursuant to FRCP 16(b), Plaintiff Cody Brenner and Defendant VIZIO, Inc. (collectively, "the Parties") hereby jointly request the Court enter an order extending the deadline for Plaintiff's motion to certify the class to January 24, 2019, with Defendant's response being due on March 7, 2019, and Plaintiff's reply being due on March 28, 2019.
- 2. The Parties have conferred and jointly represent that they have good cause to request this continuance.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 3. Plaintiff filed his Second Amended Complaint for Damages on June 1, 2018. Dkt.
- Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint on June 15,
 Dkt. 37.
- 5. On July 30, 2018, the Court granted the Parties' prior stipulated motion and issued an order setting the deadline for Plaintiff's motion for class certification to be 90 days after entry of the Court's Order on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. 43.
- 6. The Court's Order on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss ("Order") was entered on September 24, 2018. Dkt. 45.
- 7. Based on the date of the Court's Order, the current deadline for Plaintiff's motion for class certification is December 24, 2018, causing the motion and the period for Defendant's response to fall during the holiday season. Dkts. 43, 45.
- 8. Extending Plaintiff's deadline for the motion for class certification to January 24, 2019, with Defendant's response being due on March 7, 2019, and Plaintiff's reply being due on March 28, 2019, would avoid the impact of the holiday season and enable the parties to avoid scheduling conflicts while preparing their class certification briefing and supporting evidence. This schedule, which provides six weeks for the response and three weeks for the reply, will also allow the parties to conduct discovery of issues and witnesses identified in the class certification briefing in a timely fashion.

9. Both sides have served written discovery and otherwise diligently pursued discovery efforts after receiving the Court's Order on the Motion to Dismiss.

III. EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

The Parties rely upon this Motion and the pleadings, files, and records in this proceeding.

AUTHORITY AND ARGUMENT IV.

This Motion is based upon the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, corresponding case law, and the Court's power to control its calendar. Orders entered before the final pretrial conference may be modified upon a showing of "good cause." Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 608 (9th Cir. 1992) citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b).

Here, good cause exists for modifying the current class certification deadline and related briefing schedule because the Parties anticipate that holiday scheduling conflicts and the timing constraints of the current schedule may impact their ability to adequately prepare briefing related to class certification.

CONCLUSION V.

For the above-stated reasons, the Parties respectfully request that the Court grant their Stipulated Motion and issue a revised case scheduling order continuing the class certification motion deadline to January 24, 2019, with Defendant's response being due on March 7, 2019, and Plaintiff's reply being due on March 28, 2019.

23

24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER TO MODIFY THE DEADLINE AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE RELATED TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION- 3 CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05897-BHS 4820-4638-0410v.1 0110760-000001

28

ORDER

Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Modify the Deadline and Briefing Schedule Related to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby GRANTS the request. Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification will be due on January 24, 2019, with Defendant's Response due on March 7, 2019, and Plaintiff's Reply due on March 28, 2019. The Court will issue a revised briefing schedule moving all related deadlines in accordance with the new class certification motion deadline.

DATED this 5th day of November, 2018.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge

1	Presented By,	
2	Attorneys for Defendant:	
3	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	
4	Pyr. s/David Mags	
5	By: <u>s/ David Maas</u> Stephen M. Rummage, WSBA No. 11168 David Maas. WSBA No. 50694	
6	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200	
7	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 622-3150	
8	Facsimile: (206) 757-7700 steverummage@dwt.com	
9	davidmaas@dwt.com	
10	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	
11	Hyongsoon Kim, (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
12	Kelsey S. Morris (<i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>) 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1900	
13	Irvine, CA 92614	
14	Telephone: (949) 885-4100 Facsimile: (949) 885-4101	
15	kimh@akingump.com kmorris@akingump.com	
16	amonio e mangampi com	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28